arXiv:1211.2705v2 [math.DG] 3 Jan 2013

DIASTASIS AND THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE LAPLACIAN OF A NONCOMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLD

ROBERTO MOSSA

Abstract. We provide a lower and an upper bound for the first eigenvalue of a noncompact real analytic Kähler manifold in terms of Calabi's diastasis function and diastatic entropy. In particular we obtain explicit lower bounds for the first eigenvalue of the geodesic balls of an Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type.

1. Introduction and statement of the main results.

The first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(M,g)$ of the Laplace operator of a riemannian manifold (M,g) is one of the most natural and studied Riemannian invariant. When M is noncompact, it is defined by

$$\lambda_1\left(M,g\right)=\inf\left\{\lambda_1\left(D\right):D\subset M\text{ is a bounded open domain}\right\},$$

where the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(D)$ is the smallest λ that satisfies

$$\Delta u=\lambda\, u$$

for same non zero function u on M with $u_{|\partial D}=0$. We are interested in finding upper and lower bound of $\lambda_1(M,g)$ in the case of noncompact Kähler manifolds (we refer to [2] and reference therein for the compact case). More precisely, we consider the case of real analytic Kähler manifold which admit a globally defined Calabi diastasis function $\mathcal{D}_p:M\to\mathbb{R}$ (see [9] and section below for the definition of the diastasis and the main properties). Our first result is the following theorem where we give a lower bound of $\lambda_1(M,g)$ in terms of $\mathcal{D}_p$.

Theorem 1. *Let (M,g) be a real analytic Kähler manifold of complex dimension n and assume that there exists a point $p\in M$ for which the diastasis $\mathcal{D}_p:M\to\mathbb{R}$ is global defined. Then*

$$\frac{4\,n^2}{\mathcal{X}\left(p\right)}\leq\lambda_1\left(M,g\right),\tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{X}\left(p\right)=\sup_q\left\|d_q\mathcal{D}_p\right\|^2$.

The idea of using Calabi's diastasis function comes from the fact that it takes into account not only the riemannian structure but also the complex structure of the underlying Kähler manifold. For example the author together with A. Loi [15] defined and studied the concept of *diastatic exponential* defined by substituting, in the usual exponential map, the geodesic distance with the diastasis.

In the riemannian setting there exists a well-known upper bound of $\lambda_1\left(M,g\right)$ in terms of volume entropy. More precisely (see Remark 1 below) let $\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)$ be the riemannian covering of a compact manifold $\left(M,g\right)$ we have

$$\lambda_1\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)\leq\frac{{\mathrm{Ent_v}}^2\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)}{4}. \tag{2}$$

The *volume entropy* $\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(M,g\right)$ (also known as the *exponential rate of the volume grown*) of a compact manifold $\left(M,g\right)$, with riemannian covering $\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)$, is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(M,g\right)=\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathrm{Vol}\left(B_p\left(t\right)\right). \tag{3}$$

Here $\mathrm{Vol}\left(B_p\left(t\right)\right)$ is the volume of the geodesic ball $B_p(t)\subset\widetilde{M}$ of center p and radius t. Integrating (3) by parts, the volume entropy can be equivalently defined as the infimum of the positive constants c such that the integral

$$\int_{\widetilde{M}}e^{-c\,\widetilde{\mathrm{d}}_p}\,d\widetilde{v} \tag{4}$$

is finite, where $\widetilde{\mathrm{d}}_p$ is the geodesic distance on $\widetilde{M}$ from p and $d\widetilde{v}$ is the volume form of $\widetilde{M}$ induced by $\widetilde{g}$. Notice that the volume entropy $\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(M,g\right)$ only depends on the Riemannian universal covering, therefore it make sense to define the volume entropy of $\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)$ as that of $\left(M,g\right)$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right):=\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(M,g\right).$$

We refer the reader to the celebrated paper [6] (see also [7] and [8]) of G. Besson, G. Courtois and S. Gallot for an overview about the volume entropy and for the proof of the celebrated minimal entropy theorem. Combining (1) and (2) we get the following corollary of Theorem 1:

Corollary 1. *Let* $\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)$ *be a Kähler manifold with diastasis* $\mathcal{D}_p$ *globally defined in same point* $p\in M$ *and with volume entropy* $\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right)$. *Then*

$$\frac{4\,n}{\sqrt{\mathcal{X}\left(p\right)}}\leq\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{g}\right). \tag{5}$$

It is then natural to give the following definition, where the geodesic distance is replaced by the diastasis function:

Definition 1. Let (M, g) be a Kähler manifold with globally defined diastasis $\mathcal{D}_p$ centered in $p \in M$, the *diastatic entropy* in p is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ent_d}\left(M, g\right)(p) = \min\left\{c \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_M e^{-c\,\mathcal{D}_p} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} < \infty\right\}, \tag{6}$$

where ω is the Kähler form associated to g.

Our second result gives an analogous of the upper bound (2) in the Kähler case in terms of diastatic entropy.

Theorem 2. *Let (M, g) be a complete real analytic Kähler manifold with diastasis $\mathcal{D}_p$ globally defined in same point $p \in M$. Then*

$$\lambda_1\left(M, g\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{Ent_d}^2\left(M, g\right)(p)}{4}\, \mathcal{X}\left(p\right). \tag{7}$$

Since for complete symmetric manifolds (M, g) (see [20] and [10]) the first eigenvalue satisfies $\lambda_1\left(M, g\right) = \frac{\mathrm{Ent_v}^2(M,g)}{4}$, we immediately deduce

Corollary 2. *Let (M, g) be a complete symmetric Kähler manifold with globally defined diastasis $\mathcal{D}_p$ at same point $p \in M$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(M, g\right) \leq \mathrm{Ent_d}\left(M, g\right)\, \mathcal{X}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(p\right). \tag{8}$$

We believe the validity of the following conjecture (for an explicit computation of the volume entropy of an Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type see [17]).

Conjecture 1. *Let (M, g) be a complete symmetric Kähler manifold with diastasis $\mathcal{D}_p$ globally defined in same point $p \in M$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ent_v}\left(M, g\right) = \mathrm{Ent_d}\left(M, g\right)\, \mathcal{X}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(p\right). \tag{9}$$

if and only if M is the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$ and g is a multiple of the hyperbolic metric g_h.

In Section 3 we specialize in the study of the first eigenvalue for Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type (from now on HSSNCT). In Subsection 3.1 we study the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$, in particular we apply Theorem 1 to give an explicit lower bound for the first eigenvalue of a geodesic ball of $\mathbb{C}H^n$. As a consequence of our analysis we will see that inequalities (1) and (7) are sharp for $(M, g) = (\mathbb{C}H^n, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$. In Subsection 3.2 we consider the euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^n$ and (as in Subsection 3.1) we apply Theorem 1 to give an explicit lower bound for the first eigenvalue of a geodesic ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and we show that this estimation is in accordance with the celebrated Cheeger inequality. In Subsection 3.3, using the theory of Jordan triple system associated to an HSSNCT, we prove the following theorem which is the third and last main result of this paper:

Theorem 3. *Let* $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$ *be an* n*-dimensional HSSNCT with holomorphic sectional curvature between* 0 *and* -4 *and rank* r*. Denote by* $B_p^{\Omega}(t) \subset \Omega$ *the geodesic ball of radius* t *and centre* p*, we have*

$$\frac{n^2}{r \tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{r}}\right)} \leq \lambda_1\left(B_p^{\Omega}(t), g_{\mathrm{hyp}}\right). \tag{10}$$

For completeness we insert the following table describing the dimension and the rank of all irreducible HSSNCT (for a more detailed description of this invariants and other numerical invariant, which is not necessary in our approach, see e.g. [1]).

TABLE 1. Irreducible HSSNCT, rank and dimension.

Type	rank	dimension	
$\Omega_1[m,n]$	m	nm	$(n \leq m)$
$\Omega_2[n]$	$[n/2]$	$n(n-1)/2$	$(n \geq 5)$
$\Omega_3[n]$	n	$n(n+1)/2$	$(n \geq 2)$
$\Omega_4[n]$	2	n	$(n \geq 5)$
$\Omega_5[16]$	2	16	
$\Omega_6[27]$	3	27	

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Andrea Loi for his interest in my work and his numerous comments, Professor Gilles Carron for various stimulating discussions and Professor Sylvestre Gallot for his help and continuous encouragement.

2. CALABI'S DISTASIS AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND 2

The proof of Theorem 1 and 2 are based on the classical fact that

$$\lambda_1(M, g) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(M)} \frac{\int_M \|df\|^2}{\int_M |f|^2}$$

(see Lemma 1) and on non trivial properties satisfied by $e^{-c\,\mathcal{D}_p}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and the diastasis function $\mathcal{D}_p$.

We briefly recall the main properties of Calabi's diastatis function. Let M be a complex manifold endowed with a real analytic Kähler metric g. Then in a neighborhood of every point $p \in M$, one can introduce a very special Kähler potential D_p for the metric g, which Calabi [9] christened *diastasis*. Recall that a Kähler potential is an analytic function Φ defined in a neighborhood of a point p such that $\omega = \frac{i}{2}\overline{\partial}\partial\Phi$, where ω is the Kähler form associated to g. In a complex coordinate system (z) around p one has:

$$g_{j\overline{k}} = 2\,g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_k}\right) = \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial z_j\,\partial \overline{z}_k}.$$

A Kähler potential is not unique: it is defined up to an addition with the real part of a holomorphic function. By duplicating the variables z and $\overline{z}$ a potential Φ can be complex analytically continued to a function $\widetilde{\Phi}$ defined in a neighborhood U of the diagonal containing $(p,\overline{p}) \in M\times\overline{M}$ (here $\overline{M}$ denotes the manifold conjugated to M). The *diastasis function* centered in p is the Kähler potential D_p around p defined by

$$D_p(q) = \widetilde{\Phi}(q,\overline{q}) + \widetilde{\Phi}(p,\overline{p}) - \widetilde{\Phi}(p,\overline{q}) - \widetilde{\Phi}(q,\overline{p}).$$

The basic properties of the diastasis function (see [9]) are the following:

(i) it is uniquely determined by the Kähler metric and it does not depend on the choice of the local coordinates system or on the choice of the Kähler potential;
(ii) it is real valued in its domain of (real) analyticity;
(iii) it is symmetric in the sense that $\mathcal{D}_p(q) = \mathcal{D}_q(p)$;
(iv) it is equal to zero in the origin i.e. $D_p(p) = 0$;
(v) if $\mathrm{d}_p(q)$ denotes the distance between $p \in M$ and q, then

$$\mathcal{D}_p(q) = \mathrm{d}_p^2(q) + O(\mathrm{d}_p^4(q)) \text{ when } \mathrm{d}_p(q) \to 0_+.$$

We state here the *hereditary property* of the diastasis that we will use in the proof of Theorem 3 below, this is one of its main property, in particular it plays a central role in Calabi's paper [9].

Proposition 1. *(The hereditary property,* [9]*). Let ϕ be a holomorphic map from a real analytic Kähler manifold M to another real analytic Kähler manifold N. Then ϕ is a Kähler map (i.e. an isometric holomorphic immersion) if and only if the diastasis $\mathcal{D}^M$ of M is a restriction of the diastasis $\mathcal{D}^N$ of N:*

$$\mathcal{D}^M(p,q) = D^N_{\phi(p)}(\phi(q)).$$

In partiuclar if $\phi : M \to N$ is a Kähler map then

$$d_q\mathcal{D}^M_p(u) = d_{\phi(q)}\mathcal{D}^N_{\phi(p)}(\phi_* u).$$

The following lemma is the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 and 2.

Lemma 1. *(See* [4, Lemma D.II.3]*) Let (M,g) be a noncompact riemannian manifold, denote $\mathcal{H}^1_0(M)$ the completion of the space of the function $\mathcal{C}^1$-differentiable with compact support, with respect the norm $f \mapsto \left(\int_M f^2 + \int_M |df|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$*

$$\lambda_1(M,g) = \inf_{f\in\mathcal{H}^1_0(M)} \frac{\int_M \|df\|^2}{\int_M |f|^2}.$$

2.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** In order to prove Theorem 1 we will apply the following Barta's Lemma to the function $\phi = e^{-c\,\mathcal{D}_p}$. For completeness we will give a proof of this lemma.

Lemma 2. *(Barta's Lemma,* [3]*) Let $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function such that $\Delta\phi \geq \lambda\,\phi$, then*

$$\lambda_1\,(M) \geq \lambda.$$

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^1_0(M)$, we can write it as $f = u\,\phi$, where $u \in \mathcal{C}^1_0(M)$. We have

$$\|df\|^2 = \phi^2\,\|du\|^2 + u^2\,\|d\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\langle d\phi^2,\, du^2\rangle.$$

As

$$\operatorname{div}\left(u^2\,d\phi^2\right) = -\operatorname{tr}\nabla\left(u^2\,d\phi^2\right) = u^2\,\Delta\phi^2 - \langle du^2,\, d\phi^2\rangle$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|df\|^2 &= \phi^2\,\|du\|^2 + u^2\left(\|d\phi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\Delta\phi^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\,\operatorname{div}\left(u^2\,d\phi^2\right)\\ &= \phi^2\,\|du\|^2 + u^2\,\phi\,\Delta\phi - \frac{1}{2}\,\operatorname{div}\left(u^2\,d\phi^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\frac{1}{2}\,\Delta\phi^2 = \phi\,\Delta\phi - \|d\phi\|^2$. Since $u^2\,d\phi^2$ and df have compact support we have $\int_M \operatorname{div}(u^2\,d\phi^2) = 0$ and $\int_M \|df\|^2 < \infty$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \|df\|^2 &= \int_M \left(\phi^2\,\|du\|^2 + u^2\,\phi\,\Delta\phi\right)\\ &\geq \int_M u^2\,\phi\,\Delta\phi = \lambda\int_M u^2\,\phi^2 = \lambda\int_M f^2,\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\frac{\int_M \|df\|^2}{\int_M f^2} \geq \lambda,$$

by the arbitrariety of f and by Lemma 1 we obtain $\lambda_1(M) \geq \lambda$.

□

End of the proof of Theorem 1. Set $\phi = e^{-c\,\mathcal{D}_p}$, we have

$$\Delta\phi = -\operatorname{tr}(\nabla d\phi) = c\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\nabla d\mathcal{D}_p\right) - c\,\|d\mathcal{D}_p\|^2\right)\phi,$$

define $\mathcal{X}(p) = \sup_q \|d_q\mathcal{D}_p\|^2$, using the identity $\nabla d\mathcal{D}_p(v,v) + \nabla d\mathcal{D}_p(Jv,Jv) = 4g(v,v)$ we get

$$\Delta\phi \geq c\,(4\,n - c\,\mathcal{X}(p))\,\phi,$$

then by Barta's Lemma we obtain

$$\lambda_1 \geq \max_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\left[c\,(4\,n - c\,\mathcal{X}(q))\right] = \frac{4\,n^2}{\mathcal{X}(p)}$$

and this ends the proof of Theorem 1.

□

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** If $\mathcal{X}(p)=\infty$ we are done. Suppose $\mathcal{X}(p)<\infty$ and let $f=e^{-c\,\mathcal{D}_p}$, we have

$$\int_M \|df\|^2=\int_M c^2\|d\mathcal{D}_p\|^2\,e^{-2\,c\,\mathcal{D}_p}\,\frac{\omega^n}{n!}<\infty,\qquad \forall\, c>\frac{\mathrm{Ent_d}\,(M,g)\,(p)}{2},$$

hence $\int_M f^2+\int_M |df|^2<\infty$ for every $c>\frac{\mathrm{Ent_d}(M,g)(p)}{2}$. In order to apply Lemma 1 to f we need of the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let (M,g) be a riemannian manifold and $f\in\mathcal{C}^1(M)$ such that $\|f\|_{1,1}=\int_M f^2+\int_M |df|^2<\infty$. Then $f\in\mathcal{H}_0^1(M)$.*

Proof. Denote with $\mathrm{d}(q,p)$ the distance between two point p and q of M. Fix a point q and let us define $K_n=\{p\in M:\mathrm{d}(p,q)\le n\}$. Let $\hat{\varphi}_n:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ be a bell-shaped function such that $\hat{\varphi}_n(t)=1$ for $|t|<n$ and and $\hat{\varphi}_n(t)=0$ for $|t|>n+1$. Let us define $\varphi(p)=\hat{\varphi}(\mathrm{d}(p,q))$. For $n\ge 1$, consider the following sequence $f_n(p)=\varphi_n(p)f(p)$. We want prove that the sequence f_n converge to f with respect the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,1}$. Since $\int_M |f|^2<\infty$, we have

$$\int_M |f_n-f|^2\le\int_{M\setminus K_n}|f|^2\to 0\quad\text{ as } n\to\infty. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M\|df-df_n\|^2&=\int_M\|df-f\,d\varphi_n-\varphi_n\,df\|^2\\&=\int_M\|(1-\varphi_n)\,df-f\,d\varphi_n\|^2\\&=\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}\|(1-\varphi_n)\,df-f\,d\varphi_n\|^2+\int_{M\setminus K_{n+1}}\|df\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

As $\int_M |df|^2<\infty$, by the previous equation, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_M\|df-df_n\|^2=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}\|(1-\varphi_n)\,df-f\,d\varphi_n\|^2. \tag{12}$$

Note that the bell-shaped function $\hat{\varphi}_n(t)$ can be chosen in order to have $|d\hat{\varphi}_n(t)|<c_0$. Therefore, as $\|\operatorname{grad}\mathrm{d}(\cdot,q)\|=1$, we can assume $\|d\varphi_n\|\le c_0$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}\|(1-\varphi_n)\,df-f\,d\varphi_n\|^2\\&\le\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}\|df\|^2-2\,\langle(1-\varphi_n)\,df,\;f\,d\varphi_n\rangle+c_0^2\,|f|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\int_M |f|^2=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}|f|^2$ therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}|f|^2=0$, analogously $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}\|df\|^2=0$. Passing to the limits in the previous equation we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n}\|(1-\varphi_n)\,df-f\,d\varphi_n\|^2$$

$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n} -2\,\langle (1-\varphi_n)\, df,\, f\, d\varphi_n\rangle$$
$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n} 2\,|1-\varphi_n|\,|f|\,\|df\|\,\|d\varphi_n\|$$
$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n} 2\,c_0\,|f|\,\|df\| \leq 2\,c_0 \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n} |f|^2 + \|df\|^2 = 0,$$

hence by (12) we conclude

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_M \|df - df_n\|^2 = 0. \tag{13}$$

Combining (13) with (11), we see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n - f\|_{1,1} = 0$. □

End of the proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 3, for every $c > \frac{\mathrm{Ent_d}(M,g)(p)}{2}$, $f \in \mathcal{H}^1_0(M)$. Then

$$\int_M \|df\|^2 = \int_M c^2\,\|d\mathcal{D}_p\|^2\,e^{-2\,c\,\mathcal{D}_p}$$
$$\leq c^2\,\mathcal{X}(p) \int_M e^{-2\,c\,\mathcal{D}_p},$$

so by Lemma 1, we get

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lim_{c\to \frac{\mathrm{Ent_d}(M,g)}{2}} \frac{\int_M \|df\|^2}{\int_M |f|^2} \leq \frac{{\mathrm{Ent_d}}^2(M,g)(p)}{4}\,\mathcal{X}(p),$$

and this concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

□

Remark 1. *Consider the function* $f(z) = e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}_x(z)}$, *where* $\mathrm{d}_x(z)$ *is the geodesic distance between* $x, z \in M$. *By the definition of the volume entropy we see that* $\int_M f^2 + \int_M |df|^2 < \infty$ *for every* $c > \frac{\mathrm{Ent_v}(M,g)(p)}{2}$. *By Lemma 3 we see that* $f \in \mathcal{H}^1_0(M)$ *for every* $c > \frac{\mathrm{Ent_v}(M,g)(p)}{2}$. *Therefore we can use* f *as test function in Lemma 1, obtaining inequality* (2).

3. A LOWER BOUND FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF A GEODESIC BALL OF AN HSSNCT AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

3.1. **The hyperbolic space.** Consider $\mathbb{C}H^n = \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2 < 1\right\}$ the complex hyperbolic space endowed with the hyperbolic metric g_{hyp} of constant sectional curvature -4. The associated Kähler form is given by

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = -\frac{i}{2}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\log\left(1 - \|z\|^2\right).$$

The diastasis is globally defined and it reads

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{hyp}}(w,z) = -\log\left(\frac{\left(1-\|z\|^2\right)\left(1-\|w\|^2\right)}{|1-z\overline{w}|^2}\right) = 2\log\cosh\Big(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{hyp}}(w,z)\Big), \tag{14}$$

where $z\overline{w} = z_1\overline{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\overline{w}_n$ and

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{hyp}}(w, z) = \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{\|w - z\|}{|1 - \overline{w}z|}\right), \tag{15}$$

is the geodesic distance between w and z.

Notice that $\mathrm{Ent}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathbb{C}H^n,\, g_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = n$, indeed

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}H^n} e^{-\alpha\, \mathcal{D}_0^{\mathrm{hyp}}}\, \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^n}{n!} = \int_{\mathbb{C}H^n} \left(1 - |z|^2\right)^{\alpha - n - 1}\, \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!} < \infty \;\Leftrightarrow\; \alpha > n,$$

where ω_0 is the restriction to $\mathbb{C}H^n$ of the flat form of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

By applying Theorem 1 one can calculate a lower bound of λ_1 of a geodesic ball $B_y^h(t) \subset \mathbb{C}H^n$ of radius t and center y. Namely, by (14) we obtain $\|d_z\mathcal{D}_y^{\mathrm{hyp}}\|^2 = 4\,\|z\|^2$, moreover by (15) we get $\mathrm{d}_0^{\mathrm{hyp}}(z) = \tanh^{-1}(\|z\|)$, so $\|d_z\mathcal{D}_0^{\mathrm{hyp}}\|^2 \leq 4\tanh^2(t)$ for any $z \in B_0^h(t)$. Then by Theorem 1

$$\frac{n^2}{\tanh^2(t)} \leq \lambda_1\left(B_y^h(t),\, g_h\right). \tag{16}$$

3.2. **The euclidean space.** Consider $B_y^e(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < t\}$ the ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of radius t with the induced euclidean metric g_e. The diastasis $\mathcal{D}_0^e$ centered at the origin is given by $\mathcal{D}_0^e(z) = \|z\|^2$, so $\|d_z\mathcal{D}_0^e\|^2 = 4\,\|z\|^2$. By Theorem 1 we get

$$\frac{n^2}{t^2} \leq \lambda_1\left(B_y^e(t),\, g_e\right),$$

which is in accordance with the celebrated J. Cheeger inequality

$$\frac{h\,(M,\, g)^2}{4} \leq \lambda_1\,(M,\, g)\,, \tag{17}$$

where $h\,(M,\, g)$ is the constant defined as the minimum of $\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n-1}(\partial D)}{\mathrm{Vol}(D)}$ among the compact domain D of M. In fact the isoperimetric inequality give us

$$h\left(B_y^e(t),\, g_e\right) = \min_{s\in(0,t)} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n-1}\left(\partial\left(B_y^e\,(s)\,,\, g_h\right)\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}\left(B_y^e\,(s)\,,\, g_e\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_y^e\,(t)\,,\, g_e)}{\mathrm{Vol}\left(B_y^e\,(t)\,,\, g_e\right)} = \frac{2\,n}{t}.$$

3.3. **Hermitian positive triple system and proof of Theorem 3.** We refer the reader to [18] (see also [16]) for more details on Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type and Hermitian positive Jordan triple systems (from now on HPJTS).

3.4. **Definitions and notations.** An Hermitian Jordan triple system is a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \{,\,,\})$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a complex vector space and $\{,\,,\}$ is a map

$$\{,\,,\} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$$

$$(u,\, v,\, w) \mapsto \{\,u,\, v,\, w\}$$

which is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear and symmetric in u and w, $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear in v and such that the following *Jordan identity* holds:

$$\{x,\, y,\, \{u,\, v,\, w\}\} - \{u,\, v,\, \{x,\, y,\, w\}\} = \{\{x,\, y,\, u\},\, v,\, w\} - \{u,\, \{v,\, x,\, y\},\, w\}.$$

For $x,\, y,\, z \in \mathcal{M}$ consider the following operators

$$T\,(x,\, y)\, z = \{x,\, y,\, z\}$$

$$Q\,(x,\, z)\; y = \{x,\, y,\, z\}$$

$$Q\,(x,\, x) = 2\, Q\,(x)$$

$$B\,(x,\, y) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} - T\,(x,\, y) + Q\,(x)\, Q\,(y)\,.$$

The operators $B\,(x,\, y)$ and $T\,(x,\, y)$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linear, the operator $Q\,(x)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear. $B\,(x,\, y)$ is called the *Bergman operator*. For $z \in \mathcal{M}$, the *odd powers* $z^{(2\,p+1)}$ of z in the Jordan triple system $\mathcal{M}$ are defined by

$$z^{(1)} = z \qquad z^{(2\,p\,+\,1)} = Q\,(z)\; z^{(2\,p\,-\,1)}.$$

An Hermitian Jordan triple system is called *positive* if the Hermitian form

$$(u \mid v) = \mathrm{tr}\, T\,(u,\, v)$$

is positive definite. An element $c \in \mathcal{M}$ is called *tripotent* if $\{c,\, c,\, c\} = 2\, c$. Two tripotents c_1 and c_2 are called *(strongly) orthogonal* if $T\,(c_1,\, c_2) = 0$.

3.5. **HSSNT associated to HPJTS.** M. Koecher ([12], [13]) discovered that to every HPJTS $(\mathcal{M}, \{,\,,\})$ one can associate an Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type, i.e. a bounded symmetric domain Ω centered at the origin $0 \in \mathcal{M}$. The domain Ω is defined as the connected component containing the origin of the set of all $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $B\,(u,\, u)$ is positive definite with respect to the Hermitian form $(u \mid v) = \mathrm{tr}\, T\,(u,\, v)$. *We will always consider such a domain in its (unique up to linear isomorphism) circled realization.* Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is *simple* (i.e. Ω is irreducible). The *flat* form ω_0 is defined by

$$\omega_0 = -\frac{i}{2\,\gamma}\, \partial\overline{\partial}\,(z \mid z)\,.$$

Where γ is its genus. If $(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ $(d = \dim(\mathcal{M}))$ are orthonormal coordinates for the Hermitian product $(u \mid v)$, then

$$\omega_0 = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{d} dz_m \wedge d\overline{z}_m.$$

The reproducing kernel K_Ω of Ω, with respect ω_0 is given by

$$\left(K_\Omega\,(z,\, \overline{z})\right)^{-1} = C\, \det B\,(z,\, z)\,, \tag{18}$$

where $C = \int_\Omega \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!}$. When Ω is irreducible

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = -\frac{i}{2\,\gamma}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\log\det B.$$

is the *hyperbolic* form on Ω, with the associated hyperbolic metric g_{hyp}whose holomorphic sectional curvature is between 0 and -4. The HSSNCT associated to $\mathcal{M}$ is $(\Omega,\, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$. Moreover the hyperbolic form is related to the flat form by

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}(z)(u,\, v) = \omega_0(B(z,\, z)^{-1}u,\, v). \tag{19}$$

Example 1. *The hyperbolic space.* Suppose $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\{x,\, y,\, z\} = 2\,x\,\overline{y}\,w$. The associated operators are

$$T(x,\, y) = 2\,x\,\overline{v}$$
$$Q(x)\,y = x^2\,\overline{y}$$
$$B(x,\, y) = (1 - x\,\overline{y})^2.$$

Therefore the domain

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\,:\, B(z,\, z) > 0\}$$

is the unitary disc of $\mathbb{C}$ and the hyperbolic form is

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = -\frac{i}{2}\,\partial\overline{\partial}\log(1 - |z|^2). \tag{20}$$

Namely $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$ is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^1$ with the hyperbolic metric of curvature -4.

The HPJTS $(\mathcal{M}, \{,\,,\})$ can be recovered by its associated HSSNT Ω by defining $\mathcal{M} = T_0\Omega$ (the tangent space to the origin of Ω) and

$$\{u,\, v,\, w\} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(R_0\,(u,\, v)\;w + J_0\,R_0\,(u,\, J_0\,v)\,w\right),$$

where R_0 (resp. J_0) is the curvature tensor of the Bergman metric (resp. the complex structure) of Ω evaluated at the origin. The reader is referred to Proposition III.2.7 in [5] for the proof of (3.5). For more informations on the correspondence between HPJTS and HSSNT we refer also to p. 85 in Satake's book [19].

3.6. **Totally geodesic submanifolds of HSSNT.** We have the following result:

Proposition 2. *Let Ω be a HSSNT and let $\mathcal{M}$ be its associated HPJTS. Then there exists a one to one correspondence between (complete) complex totally geodesic submanifolds through the origin and sub-HPJTS of $\mathcal{M}$. This correspondence sends $T \subset \Omega$ to $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the HPJTS associated to T.*

3.7. **Spectral decomposition and Functional calculus.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a HPJTS. Each element $z \in \mathcal{M}$ has a unique *spectral decomposition*

$$z = \lambda_1\,c_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s\,c_s \qquad (0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_s)\,,$$

where $(c_1, \ldots, c_s)$ is a sequence of pairwise orthogonal tripotents and the λ_j are real number called eigenvalues of z. The integer $s = \operatorname{rk}(z)$ is called *rank* of z. For every $z \in \mathcal{M}$ let $\max\{z\}$ denote the largest eigenvalue of z, then $\max\{\cdot\}$ is a norm on $\mathcal{M}$ called the *spectral norm.* It is worth to point out that the HSSNT $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ associated to $\mathcal{M}$ is the open unit ball in $\mathcal{M}$ centered at the origin (with respect the spectral norm), i.e.,

$$\Omega = \{z = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j \, c_j \mid \max\{z\} = \max_j\{\lambda_j\} < 1\}.$$

The rank of $\mathcal{M}$ is $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{M}) = \max\{\operatorname{rk}(z) \,|\, z \in \mathcal{M}\}$, moreover $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{M}) = \operatorname{rk}(\Omega) = r$. The elements z such that $\operatorname{rk} z = \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{M}$ are called *regular.* If $z \in \mathcal{M}$ is regular, with spectral decomposition

$$z = \lambda_1 \, e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r \, e_r \qquad (\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0),$$

then $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ is a *(Jordan) frame of* $\mathcal{M}$, that is, a maximal sequence of pairwise orthogonal minimal tripotents.

Using the spectral decomposition, it is possible to associate to an *odd* function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ a map $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ as follows. Let $z \in \mathcal{M}$ and let

$$z = \lambda_1 \, c_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s \, c_s, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_s$$

be the spectral decomposition of z. Define the map F by

$$F\,(z) = f\,(\lambda_1)\, c_1 + \cdots + f\,(\lambda_s)\, c_s.$$

If f is continuous, then F is continuous. If

$$f\,(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k \, t^{2k+1}$$

is a polynomial, then F is the map defined by

$$F\,(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k \, z^{(2k+1)} \qquad (z \in \mathcal{M})\,.$$

If f is analytic, then F is real-analytic. If f is given near 0 by

$$f\,(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \, t^{2k+1},$$

then F has the Taylor expansion near $0 \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$F\,(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \, z^{(2k+1)}.$$

Example 2. Let $P = \left(\mathbb{C}H^1\right)^\ell \subset \left(\mathbb{C}^\ell, \{,,\}\right)$ be the polydisk embedded in is its associated HPJTS $\left(\mathbb{C}^\ell, \{,,\}\right)$, endowed with the hyperbolic metric induced by (20).

Define $\widetilde{c}_j = \left(0, \ldots, 0, e^{i\theta_j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. The $\widetilde{c}_j$ are mutually strongly orthogonal tripotents. Given $z = \left(\rho_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, \rho_\ell e^{i\theta_\ell}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$, $z \neq 0$, then up to a permutation of the coordinates, we can assume $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \cdots \leq \rho_\ell$. Let i_1, $1 \leq i_1 \leq \ell$, the first index such that $\rho_{i_1} \neq 0$ then we can write

$$z = \rho_{i_1}\left(\widetilde{c}_{i_1} + \cdots + \widetilde{c}_{i_2-1}\right) + \rho_{i_2}\left(\widetilde{c}_{i_2} + \cdots + \widetilde{c}_{i_3-1}\right) + \cdots + \rho_{i_s}\left(\widetilde{c}_{i_s} + \cdots + \widetilde{c}_{i_{s+1}-1}\right)$$

with $0 < \rho_{i_1} < \rho_{i_2} < \cdots < \rho_{i_s} = \rho_\ell$ and $i_{s+1} = \ell + 1$. The c_j's, defined by $c_j = \widetilde{c}_{i_j} + \cdots + \widetilde{c}_{i_{j+1}-1}$, are still mutually strongly orthogonal tripotents and $z = \lambda_1 c_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s c_s$ with $\lambda_j = \rho_{i_j}$, is the spectral decomposition of z.

Suppose that $z = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j c_j$ is a regular point, then $c_j = e^{i\theta_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$. So the exponential map $\exp_0^P : \mathbb{C}^\ell \cong T_0 P \to P$ can be written as

$$\exp_0^P(z) = \left(\tanh\left(|z_1|\right)\frac{z_1}{|z_1|}, \ldots, \tanh\left(|z_\ell|\right)\frac{z_\ell}{|z_\ell|}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tanh\left(\lambda_j\right) c_j \tag{21}$$

and $\exp_0^P(0) = 0$. Note that $\exp_0^P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tanh\left(\lambda_j\right) c_j$ is the spectral decomposition of $\exp_0^P(z)$, so the distance from the origin of $\exp_0^P(0) = 0$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d_{hyp}}(0, \exp_0^P(z)) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j^2}. \tag{22}$$

3.8. **Polar coordinates.** Let M be the set ot tripotents elements of the positive Jordan triple of $\mathcal{M}$. Then M is a compact submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ (with connected components of different dimensions). The *height* k of a tripotent element c is the maximal length of a decomposition $c = c_1 + \cdots + c_k$ into a sum of pairwise orthogonal (minimal) tripotents. Minimal tripotents have height 1, maximal tripotents have height $r = \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{M}$. Denote by M_k the set of tripotents of height k. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is simple (that is, if Ω is irreducible)*, the submanifolds M_k are the connected components of M.

The set $\mathcal{F}$ of frames (also called Fürstenberg-Satake boundary of Ω):

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{(c_1, \ldots, c_r) \mid c_j \in M_1,\ c_j \perp c_k\ (1 \leq j < k \leq r)\right\},$$

(where $c_j \perp c_k$ means orthogonality of tripotents: $T(c_j, c_k) = 0$) is a compact submanifold of $\mathcal{M}^r$. The map $F : \{\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ defined by:

$$\left(\left(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r\right), \left(c_1, \ldots, c_r\right)\right) \mapsto \sum \lambda_j c_j \tag{23}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the open dense set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ of regular elements of $\mathcal{M}$, moreover its restriction

$$\{1 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{\mathrm{reg}}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the set Ω_{reg} of regular elements of Ω. This map plays the same role as polar coordinates in rank one.

3.9. **Geodesics and geodesic distance of HSSNT.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ a HPJTS with its associated HSSNCT $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$. For every point $z \in \mathcal{M} \cong T_0\Omega$, by the polydisc Theorem (see [11]), there exists a totally geodesic polydisc $P = \left(\mathbb{C}H^1\right)^r \subset \Omega$ of maximal rank $r = \mathrm{rk}(\Omega)$, trough the origin $0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $z \in T_0P$. Assume that $z = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j\, c_j$ is a regular point then by Proposition 2 and (21), the exponential map in polar coordinates is given by

$$\exp_0^\Omega(z) = \sum_{j=1}^r \tanh(\lambda_j)\, c_j.$$

On the other hand, by (22), the distance from the origin of z is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(0,\, z) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^r \operatorname{arctanh}^2(\lambda_j)}. \tag{24}$$

3.10. **Proof of Theorem 3.** By using the rotational symmetries of $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ one can show that the diastasis function at the origin $D_0^{\mathrm{hyp}} : M \to \mathbb{R}$, associated to g_{hyp}, is globally defined and reads as

$$D_0^{\mathrm{hyp}}(z) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \log\left(C\, K_\Omega(z, \overline{z})\right),$$

where $C = \int_\Omega \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!}$, (see [14] for a proof and further results on Calabi's function for HSSNT).

Let $z \in \Omega$ be a regular point and let $z = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j\, c_j$ be its expression in polar coordinates. We have that (see [18] for a proof)

$$B(z,\, z)\, c_j = \left(1 - \lambda_j^2\right)^2 c_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r, \tag{25}$$

$$\det B(z,\, z) = \prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 - \lambda_j^2\right)^\gamma,$$

Thus (18) yields,

$$D_0^{\mathrm{hyp}}(z) = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \log\det B(z,\, z) = -\log \prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 - \lambda_j^2\right)$$

so

$$d_z\mathcal{D}_0^{\mathrm{hyp}} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{2\,\lambda_j}{1 - \lambda_j^2}\, d\lambda_j.$$

By (21) we see that for $j = 1, \ldots, r$ the curve $\lambda_j \mapsto \lambda_j\, c_j$ is the support of the arc length geodesic $\gamma(t) = \tanh(t)\, c_j$, therefore we get

$$\|d\lambda_j\| = \frac{d}{dt} \tanh(t)_{|\, t = \operatorname{arctanh} \lambda_j} = 1 - \lambda_j^2 \qquad \forall\, j = 1, \ldots, r. \tag{26}$$

Identifying $T_z\Omega \cong \mathcal{M}$, we note that $c_1, \ldots, c_r$ are orthogonal with respect $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$, so by (19) and (25), they are orthogonal also with respect the hyperbolic metric. We conclude that $d\lambda_1, \dots, d\lambda_j$ are orthogonal with respect the dual metric. Then

$$\|d_z \mathcal{D}_0^{\mathrm{hyp}}\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{4\,\lambda_j^2}{\left(1-\lambda_j^2\right)^2}\,\|d\lambda_j\|^2 = 4\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j^2, \tag{27}$$

where the last equality is attained using (26).

Assume that $z \in B_0^{\Omega}(t)$, namely by (24), that $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \operatorname{arctanh}^2(\lambda_j)} < t$. By the concavity of the function $\operatorname{arctanh}^2\left(\sqrt{|\cdot|}\right)$ we get:

$$\sqrt{r}\ \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j^2}}{\sqrt{r}}\right) \le \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \operatorname{arctanh}^2(\lambda_j)} < t,$$

thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j^2 \le r\tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{r}}\right).$$

Substituting the previous inequality in (27) we get

$$\mathcal{X}(0) = \sup_{z\in B_0^{\Omega}(t)} \|d_z\mathcal{D}_0\|^2 \le 4\,r\tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{r}}\right).$$

We conclude, by applying Theorem 1, that

$$\frac{n^2}{r\tanh^2\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{r}}\right)} \le \lambda_1\left(B_p^{\Omega}(t),\, g_{\mathrm{hyp}}\right),$$

for any $p \in \Omega$. This is exactly what we want proof. ☐

References

[1] J. Arazy, *A Survey of Invariant Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions on Bounded Symmetric Domains*, Contemporary Mathematics 185 (1995).

[2] C. Arezzo, A. Ghigi, A. Loi, *Stable bundles and the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian*, J. Geom. Anal. 17 (2007), no. 3, 375–386.

[3] J. Barta, *Sur la vibration fondamentale d'une membrane*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 204 (1937), 472-473.

[4] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, E. Mazet, *Le spectre d'une variétériemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.

[5] W. Bertram, *The geometry of Jordan and Lie structures*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1754, Springer-Verlag (2000).

[6] G. Besson, G. Courtois, S. Gallot, *Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 5 (1995), 731-799.

[7] G. Besson, G. Courtois, S. Gallot, *Minimal entropy and Mostow's rigidity theorems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 16 (1996), no. 4, 623–649.

[8] G. Besson, G. Courtois, S. Gallot, *Lemme de Schwarz réel et applications géométriques*, Acta Math. 183 (1999), no. 2, 145–169.

[9] E. Calabi, *Isometric Imbeddings of Complex Manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953), 1-23.

[10] K. Corlette, *Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets*, Invent. Math. 102 (1990), no. 3, 521-541.

[11] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. xxvi+641 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-2848-7.

[12] M. Koecher, *The Minnesota Notes on Jordan Algebras and Their Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1710, Springer-Verlag (1999).

[13] M. Koecher, *An elementary approach to Bounded Symmetric Domains,* Rice University (1969).

[14] A. Loi, *Calabi's diastasis function for Hermitian symmetric spaces.* Differential Geom. Appl. 24 (2006), no. 3, 311-319.

[15] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *The diastatic exponential of a symmetric space*, Math. Z. 268 (2011), 3-4, 1057-1068.

[16] O. Loos, *Bounded Symmetric Domains and Jordan pairs,* Lecture Notes, Irvine (1977).

[17] R. Mossa, *The volume entropy of local Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type*, arXiv:1210.7441 [math.DG] (2012).

[18] G. Roos, Jordan triple systems, pp. 425-534, in *J. Faraut, S. Kaneyuki, A. Korányi, Q.k. Lu, G. Roos, Analysis and Geometry on Complex Homogeneous Domains*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 185, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.

[19] I. Satake, *Algebraic structures of symmetric domains*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan 14, Kano Memorial Lectures 4, Iwanami Shoten Pub. and Princeton University Press (1980).

[20] D. Sullivan, *Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry*, J. Differential Geom. 25 (1987), 327-351.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray (UMR 6629) CNRS, 2 rue de la Houssinière – B.P. 92208 – F-44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France

E-mail address: `roberto.mossa@gmail.com`